

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,258		12/09/2003	Gaku Ehara	031294	3331
23850	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
		KRATZ, QUINTOS,	TONGUE, LAKIA J		
1725 K ST SUITE 10		NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1645	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	10/730,258	EHARA ET AL.	EHARA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lakia J. Tongue	1645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap	oril 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	· -							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	_							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
ayı) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 8	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•						
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	o(s)/Mail Date FInformal Patent Application (PT)	O-152\				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		U-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,258 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed on April 17, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-5 are pending and under consideration. Claim 6 has been canceled.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 1. In view of applicants' response the rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Haynes et al in view of Fujiie et al on page 4, paragraph 5 is withdrawn.
- 2. In view of applicants' response the rejection of claims 2-6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps on page 3, paragraph 3 is withdrawn.

Objection/Rejections Maintained

- 3. The objection of claims 2-4 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim is maintained for the reasons set forth on page 2, paragraph 2.
- 4. The rejection of claims1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the

Application/Control Number: 10/730,258

Art Unit: 1645

steps is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action on page 3, paragraph 3.

Page 3

Applicant urges that a) the omission of a recovery step does not amount to a "gap between the steps", since only one step is recited in claim 1, b) there is no apparent reason why "recovering the sporangia" is in any way essential to practicing the claimed invention and c) nothing in the preamble of claim 1 of claim 5 requires that the sporangia be isolated from the medium.

It is the examiner's position that claims 1-6 are drawn to a process for producing sporangia of *Bacillus popilliae* containing spores and parasporal bodies comprising the step of culturing *Bacillus popilliae* in a medium containing an adsorbent and 0.2-4.0% by weight of free glutamic acid. Applicant has provided a process for producing sporangia, however applicant has omitted the step of recovering the sporangia from the culture medium as demonstrated in applicants' disclosure on page 14, lines 7-8. Applicants' final product is sporangia containing spores and parasporal bodies recovered from the culture. This is the limitation that applicant has omitted, thus the rejection is maintained.

5. The rejection of claims 1 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haynes et al is maintained for the reason set forth in the previous office action on page 3, paragraph 4.

The rejection was on the grounds that Haynes et al disclose a process for producing sporangia of Bacillus popilliae. Haynes et al disclose that spores of Bacillus popilliae will form in liquid medium containing glutamic acid by weight of 18-21g/16g nitrogen (page 377, table1 and 381). The sporangia of Bacillus popilliae would inherently have the capability of serving as a control agent for Scarabaeidae insects. Limitations such as weight ratios are being viewed as limitations of optimizing experimental parameters.

Applicant urges that a) Haynes neither teaches nor suggest that free glutamic acid has an enhancing effect on sporangia formation, b) the reference does not discuss free glutamic acid nor the addition of free glutamic acid into the medium, c) Tables 2 and 5 have no information as to the amount of free glutamic acid and the ratio of free/conjugated glutamic acids and d) the sporangia of claim 5 would not be expected to be identical to those of Haynes.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., free glutamic acid having an enhancing effect on sporangia formation and the ratio of free/conjugated glutamic acids) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

It is the examiner position that although applicant directs the examiner to the definition of free glutamic acid to include physiologically acceptable salts thereof (last line of page 9 to page 10, line 4) applicant also discloses that the collection of 16 types of free amino acids are composed of a variety of amino acids one of which is glutamic acid (page 11, paragraph 2). Haynes et al discloses a medium that comprises glutamic acid, which by applicants' disclosure is equivalent to free glutamic acid. Moreover, applicant has not demonstrated via a side-by-side comparison that the medium of the prior art would not be capable of producing sporangia. Further, the amount and weight ratios of free glutamic acid would be a matter of optimizing experimental parameters.

Art Unit: 1645

By all comparative data the process and the medium of Haynes et al is identical to the instantly claimed invention therefore the sporangia of *Bacillus popilliae* would inherently have the capability of serving as a control agent for Scarabaeidae insects.

Conclusion

6. No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakia J. Tongue whose telephone number is 571-272-2921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,258 Page 6

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on 571-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LFT 7/7/06

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATERY STANFORD
TECHNOLOGY CENTER BY